

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Eastern District of MichiganIn the Matter of)
(Briefly describe the property to be searched)
or identify the person by name and address))

Case No. 20-50995-5

the location data for a T-Mobile cellular telephone)
assigned call number 313-673-5261)
(more fully described in Attachment A))

APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH WARRANT

I, a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government, request a search warrant and state under penalty of perjury that I have reason to believe that on the following property *(describe the property to be searched and give its location)*:

See ATTACHMENT A.

located in the Eastern District of Michigan, there is now concealed *(describe the property to be seized)*:

See ATTACHMENT B.

The basis for the search under Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(c) is *(check one or more)*:


- ☒ evidence of a crime;
☐ contraband, fruits of crime, or other items illegally possessed;
☐ property designed for use, intended for use, or used in committing a crime;
☒ a person to be arrested or a person who is unlawfully restrained.

The search is related to a violation of:

Code Section	Offense Description
18 USC § 922(g)(1)	Felon in possession of a firearm

See Affidavit. To ensure compliance with the Pen Register Statute, this Search Warrant Application sets forth requirements in 18 U.S.C. § 3122 and the Search Warrant acts as a Pen Register order under 18 U.S.C. § 3123. Accordingly, the undersigned attorney certifies: (i) he / she is an "attorney for the government," (ii) USMS is the law enforcement agency conducting the investigation, and (iii) the information sought is likely to be relevant to an ongoing investigation of this agency.

- ☒ Continued on the attached sheet.
☒ Delayed notice 30 days (give exact ending date if more than 30 days: _____) is requested under 18 U.S.C. § 3103a, the basis of which is set forth on the attached sheet.


Search Warrant Applicant's signatureJohn Siejutt, Task Force Officer (USMS)
Agent's printed name and title
Pen Register Applicant's signatureCaitlin B. Casey, Assistant United States Attorney
AUSA printed name and title

Search warrant sworn to before me and signed in my presence and/or by reliable electronic means.

Date: December 28, 2020 3:32 pmCity and state: Detroit, Michigan
Judge's signatureHon. Patricia T. Morris, U. S. Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEARCH
OF THE CELLULAR DEVICE
ASSIGNED CALL NUMBER
313-673-5261

Case No. 20-50995-5

Filed Under Seal

**AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF
AN APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH WARRANT**

I, John Siejutt, being first duly sworn, hereby depose and state as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1. I make this affidavit in support of an application for a search warrant under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41 to authorize law enforcement to employ electronic investigative techniques, as described in the following attachment, to determine the location of the target cellular device assigned dialed number **313-673-5261**, referred to in this affidavit as the “Target Cellular Device.” The service provider for the target cellular device is T-Mobile. This affidavit is made in support of up to two different search warrants to locate the phone: 1) by obtaining information from the service provider, e.g., cell site information and/or 2) by utilizing a device that acts as a cell phone tower sometimes referred to as a Cell Site Simulator, or a Wi-Fi geolocation device. In addition, because this request may be

construed as a Pen Register / Trap and Trace device or request, the application for this warrant (which includes this affidavit) is intended to comply with 18 U.S.C. § 3122.

2. I am a Task Force Officer with the United States Marshals Service. I have been a Detroit Police Officer for approximately 8 years. I have been previously assigned to units of special operations, ceasefire, and uniformed patrol and have participated in numerous investigations of wanted, dangerous fugitives. In these assignments, I conducted surveillance and tracked cellular devices using training that I obtained through the Detroit Police Department. I also have the knowledge of the use of cellular devices to commit offenses, and the available technology that can be used by law enforcement to assist in identifying the users of cellular devices and their location.

3. The facts in this affidavit come from my personal observations, training, experience, and information obtained from other agents and witnesses. This affidavit is intended to show merely that there is sufficient probable cause for the requested warrant and does not set forth all of my knowledge about this matter.

4. There is reason to believe that the Target Cellular Device is currently in our district and being used by Rockim Oliver (DOB: 11/12/1992). Oliver is a wanted fugitive and most recently resided at 9528 Piedmont in Detroit, Michigan. As further detailed below, the Target Cellular Device is believed to be used by

Oliver, who is believed to spend most of his time in Detroit, Michigan, which is in the Eastern District of Michigan. In addition to the reasons provided below, the Target Cellular Device has an area code associated with Detroit, Michigan.

PROBABLE CAUSE

5. On October 13, 2020, a federal arrest warrant was issued for OLIVER's arrest in connection with a federal criminal complaint that alleges that Oliver violated 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), felon in possession of a firearm. OLIVER has not made his initial appearance on the criminal complaint and the arrest warrant remains outstanding. OLIVER is therefore a "person to be arrested" within the meaning of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(c)(4).

6. Based on the facts set forth in this affidavit, there is probable cause to believe that OLIVER is using the Target Cellular Device. I know from training and experience that cellphone users normally have their cellphones with them. Thus, locating a user's cellphone will likely show that user's location. I believe that locating the Target Cellular Device will lead to locating OLIVER and thereby executing the October 13, 2020 arrest warrant.

7. On October 22, 2020, around 1:00 a.m., OLIVER's brother, Venoy Oliver, was fatally shot in Detroit, Michigan and transported to Sinai Grace Hospital in Detroit, Michigan. A witness at the scene of the fatal shooting reported to Detroit Police that the victim had been carried to a car and "Rock" drove off with the victim.

Police also contacted a relative of OLIVER who confirmed that OLIVER had transported Venoy Oliver to Sinai Grace Hospital and provided the assigned call number for the Target Cellular Device, *i.e.*, **313-673-5261**, as OLIVER's phone number.

8. On November 10, 2020, an officer with the Detroit Police Homicide Task Force spoke with Oliver about the ongoing homicide investigation. The officer contacted Oliver using the above call number for the Target Cellular Device. During that conversation, Oliver indicated he drove his brother to Sinai Grace Hospital and left the hospital without giving any information. Oliver's statement was corroborated with surveillance video from the hospital. Oliver was identified in that video.

9. The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms and Explosives (ATF) obtained a warrant to track the Target Cellular Device on October 23, 2020. ATF also conducted surveillance in an attempt to locate of Oliver. This warrant expired on November 21, 2020, and Oliver has not yet been located by law enforcement.

10. Information obtained from this search warrant will be used to attempt to locate Oliver within the next 30 days.

AUTHORIZATION REQUEST & MANNER OF EXECUTION

11. I request that the Court issue the proposed search warrant pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41 and 18 U.S.C. § 2703(c).

12. Because collecting the information authorized by this warrant may fall within the statutory definitions of a “pen register” or a “trap and trace device,” *see* 18 U.S.C. § 3127(3) & (4), this application and the accompanying warrant are intended to comply with requirements set forth in 18 U.S.C. §§ 3122-3123.

13. In my training and experience, I have learned that cellular phones and other cellular devices communicate wirelessly across a network of cellular infrastructure, including towers that route and connect individual communications. When sending or receiving a communication, a cellular device broadcasts certain signals to the cellular tower that is routing its communication. These signals include a cellular device’s unique identifiers.

14. In my training and experience, I have learned that T-Mobile is a company with its headquarters located within the United States and provides cellular telephone access to the general public. I also know that providers of cellular telephone service have technical capabilities that allow them to collect and generate at least two kinds of information about the locations of the cellular telephones to which they provide service: (1) E-911 Phase II data, also known as GPS data or latitude-longitude data, and (2) cell-site data, also known as “tower/face information” or cell tower/sector records. E-911 Phase II data provides relatively precise location information about the cellular telephone itself, either via GPS tracking technology built into the phone or by triangulating on the device’s signal

using data from several of the provider's cell towers. Cell-site data identifies the "cell towers" (i.e., antenna towers covering specific geographic areas) that received a radio signal from the cellular telephone and, in some cases, the "sector" (i.e., faces of the towers) to which the telephone connected. These towers are often a half-mile or more apart, even in urban areas, and can be 10 or more miles apart in rural areas. Furthermore, the tower closest to a wireless device does not necessarily serve every call made to or from that device.

15. To facilitate execution of this warrant, law enforcement may use an investigative device or devices (sometimes referred to as a Cell Site Simulator or Wi-Fi geolocation device) capable of broadcasting signals that will be received by the Target Cellular Device or receiving signals from nearby cellular devices, including the Target Cellular Device. Such a device may function in some respects like a cellular tower, except that it will not be connected to the cellular network and cannot be used by a cell phone to communicate with others. The device may send a signal to the Target Cellular Device and thereby prompt it to send signals that include the unique identifier of the device. Law enforcement may monitor the signals broadcast by the Target Cellular Device and use that information to determine the Target Cellular Device's location, even if it is located inside a house, apartment, or other building.

16. The investigative device may interrupt cellular service of phones or other cellular devices within its immediate vicinity. Any service disruption to non-target devices will be brief and temporary, and all operations will attempt to limit the interference with such devices. In order to connect with the Target Cellular Device, the device may briefly exchange signals with all phones or other cellular devices in its vicinity. These signals may include cell phone identifiers. The device will not complete a connection with cellular devices determined not to be the Target Cellular Device, and law enforcement will limit collection of information from devices other than the Target Cellular Device. To the extent that any information from a cellular device other than the Target Cellular Device is collected by the law enforcement device, law enforcement will delete that information, and law enforcement will make no investigative use of it absent further order of the court, other than distinguishing the Target Cellular Device from all other cellular devices.

17. I further request, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(f)(3), that the Court authorize the officer executing the warrant to delay notice until 30 days after the collection authorized by the warrant has been completed. This delay is justified because there is reasonable cause to believe that providing immediate notification of the warrant may have an adverse result as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2705. Providing immediate notice to the subscriber or user of the target cellular device would seriously jeopardize the ongoing

investigation. Such disclosure would give that person an opportunity to destroy evidence, change patterns of behavior, notify confederates, and flee from prosecution. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(1). There is a reasonable necessity for the use of the techniques described. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(2). As further specified in the attachment, which is incorporated into the warrant, the proposed search warrant does not authorize the seizure of any tangible property. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(2). Moreover, to the extent that the warrant authorizes the seizure of any wire or electronic communication (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2510) or any stored wire or electronic information, there is a reasonable necessity for that seizure. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(2).

18. I further request the following information from the service provider: all precision real-time location information, including E-911 Phase II data, GPS data, and latitude-longitude data, real time cell site information, and per call measurement data (PCMD, RTT, True Call, or equivalent); call detail records, including cell site location information for the past 30 days; subscriber information and extended subscriber information; handset information; and per call measurement data (PCMD, RTT, True Call, or equivalent) for the past 30 days.

19. I further request that the Court authorize execution of the warrant at any time of day or night, owing to the potential need to locate the target cellular device outside of daytime hours.

20. I further request that the Court order all documents in support of this application, including the affidavit and search warrant, be sealed until further order by the Court. These documents discuss an ongoing criminal investigation that is neither public nor known to all of the targets of the investigation. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal these documents because their premature disclosure may seriously jeopardize the investigation. I further request that the Court order any service provider, or their representatives, not to disclose the existence of this warrant or investigation unless ordered to do so by the Court.

21. A search warrant may not be legally necessary to authorize all of the investigative techniques described. Nevertheless, I submit this warrant application out of an abundance of caution.

Respectfully submitted,



John Siejutt, Task Force Officer
United States Marshals Service

Sworn to before me and signed in my
presence and/or by reliable electronic means.



HON. PATRICIA T. MORRIS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: December 28, 2020

ATTACHMENT A

This warrant authorizes the use of the electronic investigative technique described in Attachment B to identify the location of the cellular device assigned phone number **313-673-5261**, whose wireless provider is T-Mobile.

This Warrant also serves as a Pen Register order under 18 U.S.C. § 3123. The Court makes the following findings: Oliver is the person to whom the pen register or trap and trace device is to be attached/applied and who is the subject of the criminal investigation; **313-673-5261** is the phone number to which the device is to be attached; and 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) is the offense, or one of the offenses, to which information relates; and

The attorney for the government has certified to this Court that the information likely to be obtained by the installation and use of the pen register or trap and trace device is relevant to an ongoing criminal investigation by the United States Marshals Service.

ATTACHMENT B
Particular Things to Be Seized
From Cell Phone Service Provider

1. Information about the target cell phone and its location, later referred to collectively as location information, include all precision location information, E-911 Phase II data, GPS data, latitude-longitude data, per call measurement data (PCMD), and real time cell site information for 30 days, beginning from the date the warrant was issued. The information includes monitoring non-content signaling and routing information, including all non-content packet switched data, through the installation and use of a pen register and trap and trace device pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3123 by the service provider and the United States Marshals Service. Because the request for such location data may include use of a “pen register” or a “trap and trace device,” see 18 U.S.C. § 3127(3) & (4), the application and the warrant are designed to comply with the Pen Register Statute as well as Rule 41. The application therefore includes all information required for and serves as a pen register application, 18 U.S.C. § 3123(a); similarly, the warrant therefore includes all the information required for and serves as a pen register order, 18 U.S.C. § 3123(b).

2. To the extent that the information described is within the possession, custody, or control of the service provider, the service provider is required to disclose all location information to the government.

3. All subscriber, extended subscriber, handset information, and WI-FI MAC address, as well as all technical assistance necessary to accomplish the collection of the location information unobtrusively and with as little interference as possible. This includes initiating a signal to determine the location of the target cell phone on the service provider's network or with such other reference points as may be reasonably available and at such intervals and times directed by the government.

4. Call detail records with cell site location information for voice, SMS, MMS, and data connections and PCMD / RTT reports for the past thirty (30) days. The government shall compensate the service provider for reasonable expenses incurred in furnishing such facilities or assistance. Any service provider or representative who gains access to the information in this warrant shall not disclose the existence of the warrant or investigation unless disclosure is to their attorneys for purposes of seeking legal advice or disclosure is explicitly allowed / ordered by the Court.

5. This warrant does not authorize the seizure of any tangible property. In approving this warrant, the Court finds reasonable necessity for the seizure of the information described. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(2).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Eastern District of Michigan

In the Matter of)

(Briefly describe the property to be searched
or identify the person by name and address))

Case No. 20-50995-5

the location data for a T-Mobile cellular telephone)
assigned call number 313-673-5261)
(more fully described in Attachment A))

SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT

To: Any authorized law enforcement officer

An application by a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government requests the search
of the following person or property located in the Eastern District of Michigan .
(describe the property to be searched and give its location):

See ATTACHMENT A.

I find that the affidavit(s), or any recorded testimony, establish probable cause to search and seize the property described
above, and that such search will reveal (describe the property to be seized):

See ATTACHMENT B.

This Warrant, including its attachments, also serves as a Pen Register order under 18 U.S.C. § 3123. The Court makes
the following findings: Rockim Oliver is the person to whom the pen register or trap and trace device is to be
attached/applied and who is the subject of the criminal investigation; (313) 673 - 5261 is the phone number to which the
device is to be attached; and 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) is the offense, or one of the offenses, to which information relates.**YOU ARE COMMANDED** to execute this warrant on or before January 11, 221 (not to exceed 14 days)☐ in the daytime 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. ☒ at any time in the day or night because good cause has been established.Unless delayed notice is authorized below, you must give a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the property taken to the
person from whom, or from whose premises, the property was taken, or leave the copy and receipt at the place where the
property was taken.The officer executing this warrant, or an officer present during the execution of the warrant, must prepare an inventory
as required by law and promptly return this warrant and inventory to the presiding United States Magistrate Judge on duty .
(United States Magistrate Judge)☒ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b), I find that immediate notification may have an adverse result listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2705 (except for delay of trial), and authorize the officer executing this warrant to delay notice to the person who, or whose
property, will be searched or seized (check the appropriate box)☒ for 30 days (not to exceed 30) ☐ until, the facts justifying, the later specific date of _____.Date and time issued: December 28, 2020 3:33 pm

Judge's signature

City and state: Detroit, MichiganHon. Patricia T. Morris, U. S. Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title

AO 93 (Rev. 11/13) Search and Seizure Warrant (Page 2)

ReturnCase No.:
20-50995-5

Date and time warrant executed:

Copy of warrant and inventory left with:

Inventory made in the presence of :

Inventory of the property taken and name of any person(s) seized:

Certification

I declare under penalty of perjury that this inventory is correct and was returned along with the original warrant to the designated judge.

Date: _____

*Executing officer's signature*_____
Printed name and title